

A Survey of the Lower Limb Amputee Population in Scotland 2019 Public Report



SPARG
Scottish Physiotherapy Amputee
Research Group

November 2022

Authors

Miss R Carr, SPARG Data Officer
Ms J Hebenton, SPARG Chairman
Dr F Davie-Smith, SPARG Research Officer



National Centre for Prosthetics
and Orthotics
University of Strathclyde
Curran Building
131 St. James' Road
Glasgow G4 0LS



WestMARC
Queen Elizabeth University
Hospital
1345 Govan Road
Glasgow G51 4TF

Contents

| | | |
|------------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Acknowledgements..... | 6 |
| 2 | SPARG 2019 Annual Report: Executive Summary | 7 |
| 3 | Introduction | 10 |
| 4 | Results: Demographic Profiles | 11 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 11 |
| 4.2 | Amputee Details | 11 |
| 4.2.1 | Age and Sex Distribution..... | 11 |
| 4.2.2 | Immediate cause of amputation | 12 |
| 4.2.3 | Diabetic Amputees | 13 |
| 4.2.4 | Aetiology of Amputation | 14 |
| 4.2.5 | Initial Level of Amputation | 15 |
| 4.2.6 | Patients Fitted with a Prosthesis | 16 |
| 4.2.1 | Prosthetic Rehabilitation Abandoned | 17 |
| 4.2.2 | Mortality | 17 |
| 4.2.3 | Final Outcome Summary..... | 18 |
| 4.2.4 | Unilateral and Bilateral Amputees..... | 19 |
| 4.2.5 | Bilateral Amputations | 20 |
| 4.2.6 | Bilateral Amputations in Same Episode of Care | 20 |
| 4.2.7 | Falls | 21 |
| 4.2.8 | Revisions and Re-amputations | 22 |
| 4.2.1 | Functional Co-morbidities Index..... | 23 |
| 5 | Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation..... | 24 |
| 5.1 | Compression Therapy..... | 24 |
| 5.2 | Early Walking Aids | 25 |
| 5.3 | Mobility Outcomes: Locomotor Capabilities Index 5(LCI-5)..... | 26 |
| 6 | Milestone Data | 27 |
| 6.1 | Statistics Presented | 27 |
| 6.2 | Days to Casting | 28 |
| 6.3 | Casting to Delivery | 29 |
| 6.4 | Days to Inpatient Discharge: Fitted with a Prosthesis..... | 30 |
| 6.5 | Days to Inpatient Discharge: Not Fitted with a Prosthesis | 30 |
| 6.6 | Days from inpatient to outpatient discharge: Fitted with a prosthesis..... | 31 |
| 7 | Trends in Compression Therapy and Early Walking Aids (EWAs) | 32 |
| 7.1 | Statistics Presented | 32 |
| 7.2 | Trends in Compression Therapy..... | 32 |
| 7.3 | Trends in Early Walking Aids | 33 |
| 8 | Individual Hospital Summaries for 2019..... | 34 |
| 8.1 | Data Checking Summary..... | 34 |
| 8.2 | Key Performance Indicators by Hospital | 35 |
| 8.2.1 | Age and FCI | 36 |
| 8.2.2 | Final Level of Amputation..... | 37 |
| 8.2.3 | Final Outcome | 38 |
| 8.3 | Milestones by hospital (limb-fitted unilateral transtibial amputees)..... | 39 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----------|
| 9 | Limb -fitting Centres | 42 |
| 9.1 | Hospital to Limb fitting centre | 42 |
| 9.2 | Milestones by Limb-fitting centre..... | 43 |
| 10 | References..... | 44 |
| 11 | Appendices..... | 45 |
| 11.1.1 | Appendix A Bibliography & Research | 45 |
| 11.1.2 | Appendix B List of SPARG Database reporting facilities | 46 |
| 11.1.3 | Appendix C SPARG Hospitals and Physiotherapists..... | 46 |
| 11.1.4 | Appendix D Aetiology Mapping | 47 |
| 11.1.5 | Appendix E Locomotor Capabilities Index 5 | 48 |
| 11.1.6 | Appendix F Functional Co-morbidities Index | 49 |
| 11.1.7 | Appendix G Data Cleaning Steps | 50 |
| 11.1.8 | Appendix H Models of Care Summary for 2017 | 51 |
| 11.1.9 | Appendix I Multidisciplinary Advisory Group | 58 |

Tables and Figures

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| Table 1 | Factors influencing rehabilitation milestones and outcomes..... | 8 |
| Table 2 | Rehabilitation milestones and outcomes for unilateral TTA..... | 8 |
| Table 3 | Age and sex of amputee population, 2010- 2019 | 11 |
| Table 4 | Cause of amputation recorded by level and by aetiology | 12 |
| Table 5 | Cause of amputation 2018 – 2019 | 12 |
| Table 6 | Diabetic amputees, age and sex, 2018 & 2019 | 13 |
| Table 7 | Aetiology of amputation, 2014 – 2019 | 14 |
| Table 8 | Amputation Level, 2014-2019..... | 15 |
| Table 9 | Patients fitted with a prosthesis, all 2010 – 2019..... | 16 |
| Table 10 | Proportion of patients with unilateral amputation fitted with a prosthesis by level (2010 – 2019) | 16 |
| Table 11 | Proportion of patients with bilateral amputation fitted with a prosthesis, bilateral (2010 – 2019) | 16 |
| Table 12 | Bilateral patients fitted with a prosthesis by level 2019 | 16 |
| Table 13 | Sex and limb fitting outcome, 2018– 2019 | 16 |
| Table 14 | Prosthetic rehabilitation abandoned as a proportion of those initially fitted, 2014– 2019 | 17 |
| Table 15 | Mortality 2013 - 2019..... | 17 |
| Table 16 | Final outcome summary, 2016 - 2019 | 18 |
| Table 17 | Final outcome by aetiology..... | 18 |
| Table 18 | Unilateral and bilateral amputees, 2014 – 2019 | 19 |
| Table 19 | Bilateral amputees, 2014- 2019..... | 19 |
| Table 20 | Demographic profile and final outcome summary of patients with bilateral amputations at end of rehabilitation period | 20 |
| Table 21 | Bilateral amputations, 2009-2018..... | 20 |
| Table 22 | Reported falls in hospital for all amputees and also for unilateral and bilateral amputees (all levels)..... | 21 |

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Table 23 | Recorded falls at home for all amputees who had outpatient physiotherapy..... | 21 |
| Table 24 | Recorded falls for all amputees in hospital 2016 – 2019 | 21 |
| Table 25 | Recorded Falls based on Limb Fitting Outcome..... | 21 |
| Table 26 | Revisions and re-amputations, 2014-2019 | 22 |
| Table 27 | Transtibial to transfemoral re-amputations, 2014-2019 | 22 |
| Table 28 | Functional Co-Morbidities by Level and Aetiology | 23 |
| Table 29 | Functional Co-morbidities Mean Score, 2014 – 2019..... | 23 |
| Table 30 | Type of compression therapy used, 2014-2019 | 24 |
| Table 31 | Type of compression therapy used by amputation level (limb fitted patients) | 24 |
| Table 32 | Type of EWA used, 2014-2019 | 25 |
| Table 33 | Type of EWA used by amputation level (Limb-fitted)..... | 25 |
| Table 34 | Locomotor Capabilities Index by level, 2015 to 2019 | 26 |
| Table 35 | Days to casting milestone, descriptive statistics, 2019 | 28 |
| Table 36 | Casting to delivery milestone, descriptive statistics, 2019 | 29 |
| Table 37 | Median casting to delivery milestone, 2005-2019..... | 29 |
| Table 38 | Days to inpatient discharge, patients fitted with a prosthesis, descriptive statistics | 30 |
| Table 39 | Median days to inpatient discharge, patients fitted with a prosthesis, 2005-2019 (Unilateral Only) | 30 |
| Table 40 | Days to inpatient discharge, patients not fitted with a prosthesis, descriptive statistics, 2019 | 30 |
| Table 41 | Median days to inpatient discharge, patients not fitted with a prosthesis, 2005-2019 (Unilateral Only) | 30 |
| Table 42 | Days from inpatient discharge to outpatient discharge, limb-fitted amputees, 2019 | 31 |
| Table 43 | Median Days from inpatient discharge to outpatient discharge, limb-fitted amputees 2013 - 2019 | 31 |
| Table 44 | Patients receiving compression therapy within 10 days of amputation (%), 2002– 2019 | 32 |
| Table 45 | Patients using EWAs within 10 days of amputation (%), 2002– 2019 | 33 |
| Table 46 | Data Checking Summary by Hospital | 34 |
| Table 47 | Model of care (MOC) indicators..... | 35 |
| Table 48 | Total model of care score for centres n > 10 (see Appendix H for more detail) | 35 |
| Table 49 | Median Age, and FCI | 36 |
| Table 50 | Final level of Amputation at end of Rehabilitation by Hospital | 37 |
| Table 51 | Key Performance Indicators by Hospital | 38 |
| Table 52 | Key Performance Indicators (milestones) by hospital, 2019..... | 39 |

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Table 53 | Limb-fitting centres, referring hospitals and % limb-fitted | 42 |
| Table 54 | Key performance Indicators (milestones) for unilateral TTA, by limb-fitting centre | 43 |
| Figure 1 | Rehabilitation Milestones | 27 |
| Figure 2 | Groups in milestones | 27 |
| Figure 3 | Median days to casting milestone, for all unilateral TTA and unilateral TFA, 2002-2019 | 28 |
| Figure 4 | Percentage of unilateral transtibial and transfemoral amputees receiving compression therapy within 10 days of amputation surgery, 2002–2019 | 32 |
| Figure 5 | Percentage of unilateral transtibial and transfemoral amputees using EWAs within 10 days of amputation surgery, 2002- 2019..... | 33 |
| Figure 6 | Days from surgery to commencing compression therapy (CT) and early walking aid (EWA) use in unilateral TTAs by hospital | 40 |
| Figure 7 | Days from surgery to cast and delivery of a prosthetic limb in unilateral TTA's by hospital | 40 |
| Figure 8 | Days from surgery to inpatient and final discharge from physiotherapy in unilateral TTAs by hospital this one isn't right as it is all TTA not just the LF (hence the increase number of patients) Don't know how to repeat and select only limbfitted TTA.... | 40 |

1 Acknowledgements

We wish to thank all physiotherapy teams who are involved in the work of SPARG and without whose loyal, tireless and determined support this work would not have been accomplished.

SPARG would also like to thank the British Association of Chartered Physiotherapists in limb Absence Rehabilitation (BACPAR) for providing the funding to allow us to produce this report.

The final draft of this report was reviewed by a national multidisciplinary group (see Appendix I) and we would like to thank each of them for taking the time to do this.

2 SPARG 2019 Annual Report: Executive Summary

National data: key points

In 2019, all vascular surgery from Forth Valley transferred to the Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow and surgery from Victoria Hospital Kirkcaldy moved to Ninewells in Dundee. Subsequently Forth Valley has been removed from the model of care section.

The total number of amputations (n=766) is at its lowest since 2012. 2019 also showed the highest percentage of amputations at transtibial level (60%) and the lowest percentage at transfemoral level (37.8%). The number of bilateral amputations in the same episode had reduced in 2018 (n=29); however, this increased to 42 in 2019 which is in line with previous years.

There continues to be an increase in prevalence of amputation due to diabetes which rose to its highest ever at 52.5%.

SPARG started collecting data on prevalence of amputation due to Chronic Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) in 2016, and over the last four years there has been a steady increase (n=5 in 2016 to n=12 in 2019).

There were several changes in relation to limb fitting which are detailed below:

- In 2019 41% of all patients were limb fitted, the lowest in 5 years.
- The number of patients limb fitted at transfemoral level reduced further from 19.5% in 2018 to 18.1% in 2019
- Limb fitting continued to reduce in those with bilateral transtibial amputations from 60% in 2018 to 50% in 2019.
- The percentage of females with a unilateral transtibial amputation being limb fitted increased to 47% in 2019 from 25% in 2018. There was a marked reduction in the number of bilateral transtibial females' limb fitted from 38.5% in 2018 to 16.7% in 2019.
- The percentage of patient's limb fitting who have PAD is reducing, with 36% in 2017, 34% in 2018 and only 28% 2019.

The percentage of patients at transfemoral level abandoning limb use during their rehabilitation period is relatively unchanged at 4.8% in 2019 from 4% in 2018.

The pattern seen in 2018 of falls risk being higher in the more active patients' remains i.e., those who go on to limb fit or abandon have a higher falls risk.

Like 2018, the number of patients receiving compression therapy was lower than previous years (n=359) and likewise only 53 of these patients were non-limb fitters. This again suggests that we are being more selective in prescribing compression to those we think will proceed to limb fitting.

In 2019 the percentage of transtibial patients receiving compression therapy within 10 days (71.5%) reached its highest.

The use of early walking aid within 10 days remains stable for transtibials at 28.6%, and transfemorals were slightly lower at 16.1%. This is something that seems to be chronically low and may need further exploration to better understand the barriers.

The days to casting for those with a transtibial amputation has continued to trend downwards to 34 days in 2019. Outpatient rehabilitation of this same cohort was also faster, this could be linked to the timelier provision of a prosthesis, but further analysis would be required (90 days in 2018, 80.5 days in 2019).

Days to casting has reduced from 70 days in 2018 to 57 days in those with a transfemoral amputation; this is closer to the typical yearly average since 2013.

Individual Hospital data

Each hospital's model of care (MOC) varies and the impact this has on the achievement of rehabilitation milestones and outcomes is complex and influenced by many factors including patient demographics (see Table 1). Heberton et al 2019 identified key aspects of services that appear to improve speed and outcomes of rehabilitation after lower limb amputation¹. These key aspects have been used to develop the weighted MOC scoring system used in this report.

Table 1 Factors influencing rehabilitation milestones and outcomes

| Influencing factors | AH | DGRI | GRI | HH | QEUH | NH | RH | RIE/AA | National |
|---|-----|------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|--------|----------|
| Total moc score (max score = 11) | 7 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6.6 |
| Median age | 68 | 69 | 57 | 67 | 66 | 68 | 68 | 64 | 67 |
| Mean FCI (max score = 18) | 3.6 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 2.9 |

Table 2 Rehabilitation milestones and outcomes for unilateral TTA

| Milestones and outcomes for unilateral TTA | AH N=20 | DGRI N=11 | GRI^ N=8 | HH N=53 | QEUH N=97 | NH*° N=42 | RH* N=22 | RIE/AA° N=54 | National median |
|--|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Days to LF | 72 | 45.5 | 48 | 62 | 41 | 31 | 84 | 49 | 48 |
| %LF | 80 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 50.9 | 60.8 | 81 | 68.2 | 61.1 | 65.4 |
| LCI5 change score | -6.5 | -13 | 0 | -10 | 0 | -5 | -12 | -4 | -4 |

KEY: -

Red = less positive compared to national median, AMBER = similar to national median, GREEN = more positive compared to national median.

FCI = Functional Co-morbidities Index. MOC = model of care,

MOC indicators: Immediate post-operative rigid dressing, Specialist physiotherapy in first 14 days, Daily inpatient gym session, Inpatient gym session ≥ 1-hour, Prosthetic centre on site as inpatient, Prosthetic provision as an inpatient, Specialist physiotherapy outpatient service. LF = limb fitting i.e., being fitted with a prosthesis and starting walking training,

LCI5 = Locomotor Capabilities Index 5 change score, difference between score 6 months before amputation and at the end of rehabilitation. * = rigid dressing used, ° = limb fitted as inpatient, ^ note GRI has no vascular surgery.

The full report can be accessed from the BACPAR website (BACPAR website:
<https://www.bacpar.org/resources/sparg-resources/sparg-public-reports/>)

3 Introduction

This is the 27th Annual Report on data collated from all major lower limb amputations in Scotland by the Scottish Physiotherapy Amputee Research Group (SPARG). All major amputations carried out in 2019 are included, that is, ankle disarticulation (AD), transtibial (TTA), knee disarticulation (KDA), transfemoral (TFA), hip disarticulation (HD), and transpelvic (TP). Patients having partial amputations of the feet and amputation of the toes are excluded.

All data are entered locally onto the SPARG web-based Database. The Database has reporting facilities which allow for local data checking and analysis.

National and individual hospital data are presented in this report. All outcomes are reported according to final level of amputation. Individual hospital data are summarised to facilitate comparison of outcomes and the benchmarking of services. The comparative data items or key performance indicators (KPIs) for each hospital were identified by a previous, multidisciplinary benchmarking exercise³. Each of the larger centres' (n≥10) models of care (MOC) has been described according to criteria identified in the benchmarking report and agreed following consultation with SPARG members. Each model of care has been scored according to a system described in a recent study into the impact MOC may have on rehabilitation milestones and outcomes after amputation¹.

Unfortunately, due to data governance restrictions for a fifth year, there are no data for those patients who underwent an amputation in the Grampian region, though the final number of amputees does include them. In addition to Grampian's data there were another 7 missing forms.

The quality management "data checking" system introduced in 2003 continues to be highly successful. The percentage of returned records which are complete in every respect is 99.4%.

Factors not currently accounted for in data analysis: -

- Pre-amputation vascular reconstructive surgery
- Incidence of palliative amputations, that is, life-improving surgery for patients who were previously and, in the long-term, immobile with no prospect of prosthetic rehabilitation
- Social deprivation
- Final outcome at a defined point in time after surgery and longer term follow up

4 Results: Demographic Profiles

4.1 Introduction

National survey data are presented in this section. Where possible, comparisons are shown for 2010-2019. The total number of amputees for 2019 was 766; data is available for 691 of these amputees therefore included in the analysis. Missing data includes all data sets from Grampian Health Board (n= 68) and those forms not returned for data input (n=7). These 691 patients underwent 733 amputation procedures; some patients having had a re-amputation (to a higher level), or bilateral amputations during the same episode of care.

4.2 Amputee Details

4.2.1 Age and Sex Distribution

The 2019 survey contains data from 691 amputees. The data for numbers of amputees from 2010-2019 by age and gender is shown in Table 3. In 2019, the median age was 67 years at time of amputation and the population were 71.5% male and 28.5% female.

Table 3 Age and sex of amputee population, 2010- 2019

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| No. of Amputees | 740 | 700 | 708 | 809 | 819 | 803 | 780 | 798 | 794 | 766 |
| No. of Amputee with Data | 731 | 688 | 702 | 803 | 812 | 704 | 685 | 714 | 706 | 691 |
| Age Lower Quartile | 61 | 60 | 61 | 58 | 57 | 58 | 56 | 56 | 57 | 58 |
| Age Upper Quartile | 78 | 77 | 78 | 78 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| Age Median | 70 | 70 | 70 | 69 | 67 | 68 | 67 | 66 | 66 | 67 |
| Males % | 67 | 65.9 | 66.4 | 66.5 | 71.9 | 66.5 | 69.5 | 70 | 70 | 71.5 |
| Females % | 33 | 34.1 | 33.6 | 33.5 | 28.2 | 33.5 | 30.5 | 30 | 30 | 28.5 |

4.2.2 Immediate cause of amputation

The immediate cause of amputation by level and by aetiology for 2019 is shown in table 4 and table 5 compares 2018 and 2019.

Analysis of 'immediate cause' has revealed ischaemia to be the cause of amputation in 55% of all amputations, infection in 23% and a combination of infection and ischaemia in 16% (immediate cause was not applicable for 5% of all amputations). Further analysis showed that the immediate cause of amputation was ischaemia in 81% of those with aetiology of peripheral arterial disease without diabetes (PAD) and in 51% of those with diabetes.

Table 4 Cause of amputation recorded by level and by aetiology

| Cause of amputation 2019 | | Ischaemia | Infection | Combination * | N/A** |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|----------|
| | | 406 (55%) | 169 (23%) | 115 (16%) | 38 (5%) |
| Level n= 728 (5 missing) | TT | 213 | 119 | 83 | 23 |
| | TF | 191 | 46 | 31 | 8 |
| | TP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | HD | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | KD | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| | AD | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aetiology n= 728 (5 missing) | PAD without diabetes | 185 (81%) | 15 (7%) | 26 (11%) | 1 (0.4%) |
| | Diabetes | 198 (51%) | 106 (28%) | 80 (21%) | 1 (0.3%) |

*Combination is when both ischaemia and infection were present, ** N/A is not caused by either ischaemia or infection

Table 5 Cause of amputation 2018 – 2019

| Cause of amputation | Ischaemia | Infection | Combination* | N/A** |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------|
| 2018 | 50% | 21% | 22% | 7% |
| 2019 | 55% | 23% | 16% | 5% |

*Combination is when both ischaemia and infection were present, ** N/A is not caused by either ischaemia or infection

4.2.3 Diabetic Amputees

The following table summarises the age and sex of amputees with aetiology of diabetes and PAD without diabetes.

Nearly half of all amputees had the aetiology of diabetes recorded (56%) and these people were younger than those with PAD without diabetes (median 4 years).

Table 6 Diabetic amputees, age and sex, 2018 & 2019

| | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|
| | Diabetes | PAD without diabetes | Diabetes | PAD without diabetes |
| Number of Amputees | 349 | 258 | 385 | 227 |
| Number with age available | 338 | 249 | 371 | 211 |
| Age Lower Quartile | 59 | 63 | 58 | 62 |
| Age Upper Quartile | 74 | 79 | 75 | 77 |
| Age Median | 66 | 72 | 67 | 71 |
| N Male | 256 | 171 | 283 | 141 |
| N Female | 82 | 78 | 88 | 70 |
| Males % | 75.7 | 68.7 | 76.3 | 66.8 |
| Females % | 24.3 | 31.3 | 23.7 | 33.2 |

4.2.4 Aetiology of Amputation

The incidence of each aetiology recorded is shown in Table 7. Peripheral arterial disease (without diabetes) and diabetes accounted for 83.5% of all amputations in 2019.

Table 7 Aetiology of amputation, 2014 – 2019

| | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| PAD without diabetes | 319 | 39.3 | 286 | 40.6 | 267 | 37.1 | 264 | 35.1 | 258 | 35.1 | 227 | 31 |
| Diabetes | 378 | 46.5 | 315 | 44.7 | 358 | 49.8 | 378 | 50.3 | 349 | 47.5 | 385 | 52.5 |
| Trauma or Burns | 17 | 2.1 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 1.3 | 21 | 2.8 | 21 | 2.9 | 23 | 3.1 |
| Tumour | 16 | 2 | 8 | 1.1 | 9 | 1.3 | 9 | 1.2 | 15 | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| Congenital deformity | 5 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Drug abuse | 14 | 1.7 | 17 | 2.4 | 15 | 2.1 | 12 | 1.6 | 19 | 2.6 | 9 | 1.2 |
| Venous disease | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.7 | 15 | 2.1 | 16 | 2.1 | 6 | 0.8 | 8 | 1.1 |
| Orthopaedic (total)*** | 45 | 5.6 | 24 | 3.4 | 13 | 1.8 | 15 | 2.0 | 21 | 2.9 | 25 | 3.4 |
| Orthopaedic – non union | | | | | 8 | 1.1 | 12 | 1.6 | 13 | 1.8 | 15 | 2 |
| Orthopaedic failed joint | | | | | 4 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Orthopaedic acquired deformity | | | | | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Blood-borne infection | 7 | 0.9 | 8 | 1.1 | 18 | 2.5 | 18 | 2.4 | 25 | 3.4 | 18 | 2.5 |
| Renal Failure | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 0.7 |
| CRPS* | | | | | 5 | 0.7 | 9 | 1.2 | 8 | 1.1 | 12 | 1.6 |
| Acute Vascular Injury ** | 10 | 1.2 | 13 | 1.9 | 4 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.8 | 6 | 0.8 | 8 | 1.1 |
| Not recorded | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 0.99 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.7 |
| Total | 812 | 100 | 704 | 100 | 720 | 100 | 752 | 100 | 735 | 100 | 733 | 100 |

*CRPS= Chronic Regional Pain Syndrome (previously this would have been in either "orthopaedic" or "other" category)

** Acute vascular injury (AVI): "Other" prior to 2016, *** from 2016 Ortho had additional categories added.

4.2.5 Initial Level of Amputation

Table 8 shows the incidence of six levels of amputation for the years 2014-2019. For amputees who had bilateral amputations in the reported period, both amputations are included in the data. The number of levels recorded will therefore be greater than the number of amputees for any given year. The level indicates the initial level of the amputation.

Table 8 Amputation Level, 2014-2019

| | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Transtibial | 432 | 51.1 | 377 | 51.2 | 401 | 56 | 423 | 56.3 | 417 | 56.7 | 440 | 60 |
| Transfemoral | 395 | 46.7 | 342 | 46.4 | 304 | 42 | 313 | 41.6 | 308 | 41.9 | 277 | 37.8 |
| Transpelvic | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Hip Disarticulation | 6 | 0.7 | 5 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.8 | 8 | 1.1 | 4 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.5 |
| Knee Disarticulation | 13 | 1.5 | 12 | 1.6 | 7 | 1.0 | 8 | 1.1 | 4 | 0.5 | 7 | 1 |
| Ankle Disarticulation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not recorded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 846 | 100 | 737 | 100 | 720 | 100 | 752 | 100 | 735 | 100 | 733 | 100 |

4.2.6 Patients Fitted with a Prosthesis

The number of patients fitted with a prosthesis at final discharge is shown in Table 9. Unilateral patients limb-fitted are shown in Table 10, and bilateral patients are shown in Table 11. Table 12 gives more detail on bilateral patients fitted by their exact level of amputation. Table 13 shows the proportion of males and females who were fitted with a prosthesis. Those patients who have abandoned limb-fitting are not included in this "limb-fitted" patient group.

The proportion of patients (all levels) fitted with a prosthesis in 2019 is 41.3%. When examined by level, 65.4% of TTA and 18.1% of transfemoral (TFA) were fitted.

Table 9 Patients fitted with a prosthesis, all 2010 – 2019

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Total Number | 731 | 688 | 702 | 803 | 812 | 704 | 685 | 714 | 704 | 691 |
| Number fitted | 315 | 288 | 286 | 322 | 338 | 293 | 321 | 313 | 318 | 284 |
| Percentage fitted | 43.1 | 41.9 | 40.7 | 40.1 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 44.6 | 43.8 | 45.2 | 41.3 |

Table 10 Proportion of patients with unilateral amputation fitted with a prosthesis by level (2010 – 2019)

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| TTA (%) | 69.7 | 67.4 | 66.8 | 64.5 | 63.8 | 68 | 66.9 | 66.4 | 64.6 | 65.4 |
| TFA (%) | 32 | 26.1 | 26.3 | 23.2 | 28.1 | 23.9 | 20.9 | 26.4 | 19.5 | 18.1 |
| Other (%) | 11.5 | 50 | 19.1 | 21.7 | 31.3 | 30.8 | 12.2 | 0 | 20 | 33.3 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

Table 11 Proportion of patients with bilateral amputation fitted with a prosthesis, bilateral (2010 – 2019)

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Bilateral – all levels % | 29.8 | 31.5 | 33.6 | 18.8 | 25.3 | 24.5 | 28.2 | 38.7 | 29.3 | 21.9 |

Table 12 Bilateral patients fitted with a prosthesis by level 2019

| | Bilateral TTA (n=54) | Bilateral TFA (n=62) | TTA & TFA (n=27) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Limb-fitted % (n=) | 50% (n=27) | 3.2% (n=2) | 11.1% (n=3) |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

Table 13 Sex and limb fitting outcome, 2018– 2019

| | 2018 | | | 2019 | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | Unilateral TTA | Unilateral TFA | Bilateral | Unilateral TTA | Unilateral TFA | Bilateral |
| Total Males (n) | 221 | 187 | 75 | 254 | 127 | 104 |
| Total Females (n) | 77 | 94 | 39 | 68 | 84 | 42 |
| Males Limb-fitted (n) | 155 | 64 | 18 | 178 | 24 | 25 |
| Females Limb-fitted (n) | 52 | 13 | 15 | 32 | 14 | 7 |
| % Limb-fitted - Male | 74.9 | 83.1 | 24 | 70.1 | 18.9 | 24 |
| % Limb-fitted - Female | 25.1 | 16.9 | 38.5 | 47.1 | 16.7 | 16.7 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

4.2.1 Prosthetic Rehabilitation Abandoned

There are a number of patients each year who are initially fitted with a prosthesis and start prosthetic rehabilitation but for whom prosthetic treatment is abandoned prior to their final discharge. The amputation level referred to in this section is the final level if re-amputation surgery has been carried out. Table 14 shows those people who have abandoned use of their prosthesis as a proportion of those initially fitted.

The number of those abandoning prosthetic use during the rehabilitation period fluctuates from year to year (3.9% in 2019). Of these 27 patients, 4.3% were unilateral TTA (n=14), 4.8% unilateral TFA (n=14) and 2.1% were bilateral of varying levels (n=3).

Table 14 Prosthetic rehabilitation abandoned as a proportion of those initially fitted, 2014–2019

| | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| All patients | 23 | 6.4 | 32 | 9.6 | 22 | 6.8 | 31 | 8.1 | 33 | 4.7 | 27 | 3.9 |
| Unilateral TTA | 15 | 6.9 | 13 | 7.1 | 9 | 3.5 | 15 | 6.6 | 19 | 6.4 | 14 | 4.3 |
| Unilateral TFA | 3 | 3.2 | 15 | 21.7 | 9 | 14.3 | 14 | 14.9 | 10 | 3.6 | 10 | 4.8 |
| Other | 1 | 16.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bilateral | 4 | 9.3 | 4 | 11.1 | 4 | 8.5 | 1 | 1.7 | 4 | 3.5 | 3 | 2.1 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

4.2.2 Mortality

Table 15 shows the proportion of amputees who died within 30 days of their initial amputation.

Table 15 Mortality 2013 - 2019

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Number of amputees | 803 | 812 | 704 | 685 | 714 | 706 | 691 |
| 30-day Mortality (N) | 51 | 45 | 44 | 47 | 40 | 33 | 34 |
| 30-day Mortality (%) | 6.4 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 |

4.2.3 Final Outcome Summary

Table 16 gives a summary of gross outcomes for all amputees at the time of final discharge from physiotherapy whether at in patient discharge or after a period of outpatient treatment in 2019. Non-Limb-fitted now includes those who abandoned prosthetic use as that was their final outcome. Table 17 shows final outcome by aetiology and including those abandoned.

When grouped by aetiology, the greatest percentage of patients **not** being fitted with a prosthesis are those with venous disease (71.4%) and PAD (49.8%).

Table 16 Final outcome summary, 2016 - 2019

| | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Limb-fitted | 278 | 40.7 | 313 | 43.8 | 318 | 45.2 | 284 | 41.1 |
| Not Limb-fitted | 314 | 45.8 | 318 | 43.5 | 308 | 43.8 | 308 | 44.6 |
| Deceased | 92 | 13.4 | 83 | 11.6 | 78 | 11.1 | 96 | 13.9 |
| Unknown | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.4 |

Table 17 Final outcome by aetiology

| Aetiology | Limb-fitted % (n) | Non-limb-fitted % (n) | Abandoned % (n) | Deceased % (n) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| PAD | 28 (59) | 49.8 (105) | 4.3 (9) | 18 (38) |
| Diabetes | 44.7 (166) | 38.5 (143) | 4 (15) | 12.7 (47) |
| Trauma or burns | 61.9 (13) | 14.3 (3) | 9.5 (2) | 14.3 (3) |
| Tumour | 71.4 (5) | 14.3 (1) | 0 | 14.3 (1) |
| Congenital deformity | 100 (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Drug abuse | 55.6 (5) | 11.1 (1) | 0 | 33.3 (3) |
| Venous disease | 14.3 (1) | 71.4 (5) | 0 | 14.3 (1) |
| Ortho non-union | 55.6 (5) | 44.4 (4) | 0 | 0 |
| Ortho joint replacement | 50 (1) | 50 (1) | 0 | 0 |
| Ortho acquired deformity | 0 | 50 (1) | 0 | 50 (1) |
| Blood borne infection | 47.1 (8) | 47.1 (8) | 0 | 5.9 (1) |
| Renal Failure | 80 (4) | 0 | 20 (1) | 0 |
| CRPS | 58.3 (7) | 41.7 (5) | 0 | 0 |
| Acute vascular incident | 85.7 (6) | 0 | 0 | 14.3 (1) |
| Not recorded (n= 5) | | | | |

4.2.4 Unilateral and Bilateral Amputees

Table 18 shows the number of unilateral and bilateral amputees for the years 2014-2019. In this table bilateral amputees includes all amputees who were bilateral in the reported year.

The bilateral amputees are defined in more detail in Table 19 where there are two groups shown: those amputees who had a prior amputation; and those who were not previously amputees, that is, underwent bilateral amputations in the same episode of care.

Table 18 Unilateral and bilateral amputees, 2014 – 2019

| | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Number of amputees | 812 | 100 | 704 | 100 | 685 | 100 | 714 | 100 | 706 | 100 | 691 | 100 |
| Unilateral amputees | 658 | 81 | 556 | 79 | 543 | 79.2 | 572 | 80.1 | 590 | 83.5 | 545 | 78.8 |
| Bilateral amputees | 154 | 19 | 148 | 21 | 142 | 20.8 | 142 | 20.9 | 116 | 16.4 | 146 | 21.2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 19 Bilateral amputees, 2014- 2019

| | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|---|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Bilateral Total | 154 | 100 | 148 | 100 | 142 | 100 | 142 | 100 | 116 | 100 | 146 | 100 |
| Bilateral – prior amputation(s) | 120 | 77.9 | 115 | 77.7 | 107 | 75.4 | 104 | 73.2 | 86 | 74.1 | 104 | 71.2 |
| Bilateral – both in same episode | 34 | 22.1 | 33 | 22.3 | 35 | 24.6 | 38 | 26.8 | 29 | 25.9 | 42 | 28.8 |

4.2.5 Bilateral Amputations

Demographic and final outcome data for all patients with bilateral amputation are shown below in Table 20

Table 20 Demographic profile and final outcome summary of patients with bilateral amputations at end of rehabilitation period

| | Bilateral TTA | Bilateral TFA | TTA & TFA | Other |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Number | 54 | 62 | 27 | 3 |
| Age (median, years) | 66.2 | 67.5 | 63.5 | 59.5 |
| Gender (Male) %, (n) | 70.4 (38) | 67.7 (42) | 81.5 (22) | 66.6 (2) |
| Aetiology | | | | |
| PAD without diabetes % (n) | 14.8 (8) | 46.8 (29) | 37 (10) | 33.3 (1) |
| Diabetes % (n) | 72.2 (39) | 43.5 (27) | 63 (17) | 0 |
| Other % (n) | 13 (7) | 9.7 (6) | 0 | 66.6 (2) |
| Final Outcome | | | | |
| Limb-fitted % (n) | 50 (27) | 3.2 (2) | 11.1 (3) | 0 |
| Non-Limb-fitted % (n) | 37 (20) | 80.6 (50) | 63 (17) | 66.6 (2) |
| Died % (n) | 9.3 (5) | 14.5 (9) | 25.9 (7) | 33.3 (1) |
| Abandoned % (n) | 3.7 (2) | 1.6 (1) | 0 | 0 |
| Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial, PAD=Peripheral Arterial Disease.

*Other=various combinations of amputation levels i.e., hip disarticulation and transfemoral etc.

4.2.6 Bilateral Amputations in Same Episode of Care

The number and levels of bilateral amputations carried out in the same episode of care are shown in Table 21 below for 2010-2019.

Table 21 Bilateral amputations, 2009-2018

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bilateral TTA | 13 | 13 | 16 | 13 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 17 |
| Bilateral TFA | 12 | 12 | 22 | 25 | 20 | 21 | 11 | 18 | 13 | 22 |
| TTA & TFA | 5 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Other | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 31 | 29 | 44 | 45 | 34 | 33 | 35 | 38 | 29 | 42 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

4.2.7 Falls

This is the fourth year that we have reported on falls, Table 22 shows falls recorded for all amputees and also for unilateral and bilateral amputees (all levels). Table 23 shows falls at home and both in hospital and at home, for all amputees who had outpatient physiotherapy. Falls at home are not recorded for those who do not receive any physiotherapy following in patient discharge. Note this is not the number of falls but is the number of amputees who reported a fall during their rehabilitation period. Table 24 shows recorded falls in hospital for 2016 – 2019.

Falls have been reported for the third year and although there was an increase in hospital falls in 2017 and 2018, this has reduced again in 2019 to 20.5%. However, the number of falls at home has increased from 16.8% in 2018 to 25.4% in 2019.

Table 22 Reported falls in hospital for all amputees and also for unilateral and bilateral amputees (all levels)

| | All Amputees (n= 691) | Unilateral (n= 545) | Bilateral - previously unilateral (n= 104) | Bilateral - same episode (n= 42) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| In hospital % (n) | 20.5% (142) | 23.1% (126) | 6.7% (7) | 21% (9) |

Table 23 Recorded falls at home for all amputees who had outpatient physiotherapy

| Amputees Outpatient rehab | All Amputees (n=311) | Unilateral (n= 276) | Bilateral - previously unilateral (n=24) | Bilateral - same episode (n= 11) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| At home % (n) | 25.4% (79) | 25.7% (71) | 16.7% (4) | 9.1% (1) |

Table 24 Recorded falls for all amputees in hospital 2016 – 2019

| Recorded falls | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| In hospital | 19.1% | 23.4% | 22.2% | 20.5% |
| At home | 16% | 26% | 16.8% | 25.4% |

Table 25 Recorded Falls based on Limb Fitting Outcome

| | Limb-Fitted | Non-Limb-fitted | Abandoned |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Falls in hospital | 30.3% | 14.9% | 29.6% |
| Falls at home | 27.1% | n/a | 7.4% |

4.2.8 Revisions and Re-amputations

The number of amputees having revision or re-amputation surgery is shown in Table 26. A revision is defined as further primary residual limb surgery which may involve bone but does not change the level of amputation. A re-amputation is defined as further surgery of the primary residual limb, which changes the level of amputation. Each revision and re-amputation are counted, therefore amputees who had a revision then a re-amputation would be included in both counts.

Re-amputations from the transtibial to the transfemoral level for 2014-2019 are shown in Table 27.

Table 26 Revisions and re-amputations, 2014-2019

| | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|---|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|------------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Amputations | 846 | 100 | 737 | 100 | 720 | 100 | 752 | 100 | 735 | 100 | 733 | 100 |
| Revisions | 27 | 3.2 | 9 | 1.2 | 11 | 1.5 | 12 | 1.6 | 10 | 1.4 | 14 | 1.9 |
| Re-amputations | 49 | 5.8 | 46 | 6.2 | 44 | 6.1 | 59 | 7.8 | 51 | 6.9 | 43 | 5.7 |
| Total revisions + re-amputations | 76 | 9 | 55 | 7.5 | 55 | 7.6 | 71 | 9.4 | 61 | 8.3 | 57 | 7.8 |

Table 27 Transtibial to transfemoral re-amputations, 2014-2019

| | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Initial TTA | 432 | 100 | 378 | 100 | 401 | 100 | 423 | 100 | 417 | 100 | 440 | 100 |
| Re-amputated to TFA | 43 | 10.0 | 42 | 11.1 | 40 | 10.0 | 54 | 12.8 | 43 | 10.3 | 41 | 9.3 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

4.2.1 Functional Co-morbidities Index

The Functional Co-morbidities Index (FCI) was incorporated into the data set from 2008 in an effort to account for the relatively high incidence of co-morbid disease in the lower limb amputee population (see Appendix F).

The FCI is completed by scoring 1 if a disease is present, that is, diagnosed and recorded in the medical notes of a patient, and 0 if not. A score of 0 indicates no co-morbid disease and a score of 18 the highest number of co-morbid illnesses.

Table 28 shows FCI by level and aetiology and the mean scores for 2014 – 2019 are shown in table 29.

Table 28 Functional Co-Morbidities by Level and Aetiology

| | Number | Min | Max | Mean | Lower Quartile | Upper Quartile | Median |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----|-----|------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| All Patients | 691 | 0 | 9 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Level of Amputation | | | | | | | |
| Unilateral TTA | 321 | 0 | 8 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Unilateral TFA | 210 | 0 | 9 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Other | 10 | 0 | 5 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.25 | 0.5 |
| All Bilateral | 146 | 0 | 8 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Bilateral TTA | 54 | 0 | 8 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Bilateral TFA | 62 | 0 | 6 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| TTA & TFA | 27 | 1 | 6 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Aetiology | | | | | | | |
| PAD without diabetes | 211 | 0 | 6 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Diabetes | 371 | 0 | 9 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Other | 104 | 0 | 7 | 1.0 | 0 | 2.0 | 1.46 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial, PAD=Peripheral Arterial Disease

Table 29 Functional Co-morbidities Mean Score, 2014 – 2019

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------------|
| | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| All Patients | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Unilateral TTA | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Unilateral TFA | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Other | 2.5 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| All Bilateral | 3.4 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| PAD without diabetes | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Diabetes | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.3 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial, PAD=Peripheral Arterial Disease

5 Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation

5.1 Compression Therapy

Compression therapy of the residuum is widely used and figures for 2014-2019 are presented in Table 30. These figures capture the first method of compression used.

Table 30 Type of compression therapy used, 2014-2019

| | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Elset 'S' bandage | 5 | 0.8 | 11 | 2.1 | 2 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flowtron | 11 | 1.8 | 6 | 1.1 | 9 | 1.7 | 6 | 1.1 | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Plaster cast | 123 | 19.8 | 96 | 18.2 | 113 | 21.6 | 86 | 16.6 | 67 | 17.2 | 75 | 20.9 |
| Shrinker sock | 428 | 68.9 | 370 | 70.2 | 357 | 67.7 | 400 | 77.1 | 305 | 78.4 | 266 | 74.1 |
| Silicone Sleeve | 18 | 2.90 | 12 | 2.3 | 8 | 1.5 | 3 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| PPAM* | 36 | 5.80 | 32 | 6.1 | 38 | 7.2 | 22 | 4.2 | 13 | 3.3 | 17 | 4.7 |
| Total | 621 | 100 | 527 | 100 | 527 | 100 | 519 | 100 | 389 | 100 | 359 | 100 |

Abbreviations= PPAM Aid= Pneumatic Post Amputation Mobility Aid

*Inclusion of PPAM aid here indicates it has been used without the walking frame for compression therapy only

Table 31 Type of compression therapy used by amputation level (limb fitted patients)

| | TTA (%) | TFA (%) | Bilateral TTA (%) |
|----------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| Plaster cast | 21.9 | 0 | 22.2 |
| Shrinker sock | 67.1 | 84.2 | 74.1 |
| PPAM aid bag | 45.8 | 10.5 | 0 |
| Unknown | 5.7 | 5.3 | 3.7 |

5.2 Early Walking Aids

The types of Early Walking Aids (EWA) used in 2014-2019 are shown in Table 32. Table 33 shows EWA used by amputation level. Note that these figures relate to the first device used.

Table 32 Type of EWA used, 2014-2019

| | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| AMA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Femurett | 81 | 20.1 | 65 | 18.5 | 57 | 16.8 | 63 | 15.9 | 48 | 13.9 | 36 | 11.7 |
| PPAM | 323 | 80 | 287 | 81.5 | 281 | 82.7 | 333 | 83.8 | 297 | 86.1 | 273 | 88.3 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 404 | 100 | 352 | 100 | 340 | 100 | 397 | 100 | 345 | 100 | 309 | 100 |

Abbreviations: PPAM= Pneumatic Post Amputation Mobility Aid, AMA=Amputee Mobility Aid

Table 33 Type of EWA used by amputation level (Limb-fitted)

| | TTA (%) | TFA (%) | Bilateral TTA (%) |
|-----------------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| PPAM aid | 91.9 | 44.7 | 70.4 |
| Femurett | 0 | 55.3 | 0 |
| Unknown | 8.1 | 0 | 29.6 |

Abbreviations: PPAM= Pneumatic Post Amputation Mobility Aid, TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

5.3 Mobility Outcomes: Locomotor Capabilities Index 5(LCI-5)

The LCI-5 is a widely used and validated self-report tool that measures a lower limb amputee's locomotor capabilities with their prosthesis during and after rehabilitation ⁴.

The LCI-5 is an amended version of the LCI in which the upper ordinal level is split into 2 according to the use or non-use of walking aids to give maximum sub-scores of 28 and total score of 56 ⁵. The LCI-5 has been found to reduce the ceiling effect associated with the LCI by 50%^{5,6}. The higher the score of the LCI-5 the greater the capabilities of the amputee. The LCI-5 is completed retrospectively for the amputee patient's mobility six months prior to their amputation and prospectively on final discharge. The difference between these two scores is calculated for each patient to give a score for their change in mobility. A positive score indicates an improvement in mobility and a negative score deterioration. All Basic and Advanced values in the tables below are the **mean** values.

Table 34 Locomotor Capabilities Index by level, 2015 to 2019

| 2015 | 6/12 Pre-amp | | | Final Outcome | | | Change |
|---|--------------|------|-------|---------------|------|-------|--------|
| | Basic | Adv. | Total | Basic | Adv. | Total | |
| Transtibial (n=182) | 23 | 23 | 46 | 21 | 19 | 40 | -5 |
| Transfemoral (n=70) | 26 | 27 | 53 | 19 | 15 | 35 | -18 |
| Bilateral transtibial (n=30) | 21.2 | 20.4 | 41.6 | 19.5 | 14.6 | 34.2 | -7.5 |
| Transtibial and transfemoral (n=5) | 21.3 | 17 | 38.3 | 16.3 | 12 | 28.3 | -10 |
| 2016 | 6/12 Pre-amp | | | Final Outcome | | | Change |
| | Basic | Adv. | Total | Basic | Adv. | Total | |
| Transtibial (n=175) | 23 | 20 | 43 | 20 | 16 | 36 | -7 |
| Transfemoral (n=57) | 26 | 23 | 49 | 20 | 13 | 34 | -15 |
| Bilateral (n=31) | 21 | 18 | 39 | 18 | 12 | 31 | -8 |
| 2017 | 6/12 Pre-amp | | | Final Outcome | | | Change |
| | Basic | Adv. | Total | Basic | Adv. | Total | |
| Transtibial (n=211) | 22 | 18 | 40 | 18 | 14 | 31 | -8 |
| Transfemoral (n=88) | 22 | 20 | 42 | 15 | 10 | 25 | -17 |
| Bilateral (n=55) | 15 | 12 | 27 | 12 | 8 | 21 | -6 |
| 2018 | 6/12 Pre-amp | | | Final Outcome | | | Change |
| | Basic | Adv. | Total | Basic | Adv. | Total | |
| Transtibial (n=188) | 24 | 20 | 44 | 22 | 18 | 39 | -5 |
| Transfemoral (n=66) | 25 | 23 | 48 | 21 | 15 | 36 | -12 |
| Bilateral transtibial (n= 23) | 22 | 16 | 38 | 20 | 12 | 32 | -6 |
| 2019 | 6/12 Pre-amp | | | Final Outcome | | | Change |
| | Basic | Adv. | Total | Basic | Adv. | Total | |
| Transtibial (n=210) | 23 | 20 | 43 | 21 | 16 | 37 | -5 |
| Transfemoral (n= 38) | 24 | 22 | 46 | 19 | 12 | 31 | -15 |
| Bilateral transtibial (n= 27) | 19 | 15 | 34 | 16 | 11 | 27 | -7 |

6 Milestone Data

6.1 Statistics Presented

This section of the report deals with the statistical analysis of the rehabilitation milestones. The four rehabilitation milestones are shown in the figure below: -

| Milestones | Names by which milestones are referred to in this report |
|--|---|
| <i>Number of days from final amputation to casting for prosthesis</i> | <i>'Days to casting'</i> |
| <i>Number of days from casting to delivery of prosthesis</i> where delivery is defined as the date at which the patient begins gait training with the prosthesis – finished or unfinished. | <i>'Casting to delivery'</i> |
| <i>Number of days from primary amputation to inpatient discharge</i> (for patients having bilateral amputations and/or revision surgery see notes below) | <i>'Days to inpatient discharge'</i> (length of stay) |
| <i>Number of days from inpatient discharge to discharge from outpatient physiotherapy</i> | <i>'Days inpatient discharge to outpatient discharge'</i> |

Figure 1 Rehabilitation Milestones

For each milestone, the following descriptive statistics are presented: the number of amputees included in the analysis, lower quartile, median and upper quartile.

Only patients who were limb-fitted at outpatient discharge are included in *days to casting* and *casting to delivery*.

Where patients have undergone revisions or re-amputations, the latest date of surgery is used as the date of amputation. The final level, in the case of re-amputations to higher levels, is used to group the patients for this milestone.

Days to inpatient discharge is the length of stay in hospital for each amputee calculated in days from the date of amputation. The length of stay for bilaterals amputated in same hospital admission is calculated from the date of first surgery.

The length of hospital stay for patients re-amputated to a higher level will be calculated from the date of their final amputation.

For each milestone, and each group, the statistics represent available data including data from patients who have died.

Figure 2 Groups in milestones

| Groups with results prepared for all milestones | Additional groups for <i>days to inpatient discharge</i> |
|--|---|
| Transtibial Unilateral Fitted | Transtibial Unilateral Not Fitted |
| Transfemoral Unilateral Fitted | Transfemoral Unilateral Not Fitted |
| Bilateral* Fitted | Bilateral* Not Fitted |

*Bilateral includes all those who underwent one amputation in the report period having had a prior amputation(s), and those who underwent bilateral amputations in the report period having had no prior amputations


6.2 Days to Casting

Table 35 Days to casting milestone, descriptive statistics, 2019

| | All Patients | Unilateral TTA | Unilateral TFA | Bilateral TTA | TTA & TFA |
|-----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Number Included | 284 | 210 | 38 | 27 | 3 |
| Lower Quartile | 25 | 25 | 34 | 25 | 27 |
| Upper Quartile | 67 | 59 | 89 | 69 | 153 |
| Median | 37 | 34 | 56.5 | 40 | 30 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

Figure 3 Median days to casting milestone, for all unilateral TTA and unilateral TFA, 2002-2019



6.3 Casting to Delivery

Table 36 Casting to delivery milestone, descriptive statistics, 2019

| | All | Unilateral TTA | Unilateral TFA | Bilateral TTA | TTA & TFA |
|------------------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Number Included | 284 | 210 | 38 | 27 | 3 |
| Lower Quartile | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Upper Quartile | 14 | 14 | 18.5 | 19 | 25 |
| Median | 8 | 8 | 14 | 13 | 13 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

Table 37 Median casting to delivery milestone, 2005-2019

| | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| TTA | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| TFA | 14 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

6.4 Days to Inpatient Discharge: Fitted with a Prosthesis

Table 38 Days to inpatient discharge, patients fitted with a prosthesis, descriptive statistics

| | Unilateral TTA | Unilateral TFA | Bilateral TTA |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Number Included | 210 | 38 | 27 |
| Lower Quartile | 25 | 22 | 26 |
| Upper Quartile | 60 | 65 | 76 |
| Median | 40 | 41 | 40 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

Table 39 Median days to inpatient discharge, patients fitted with a prosthesis, 2005-2019 (Unilateral Only)

| | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| TTA | 54 | 56 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 59 | 50 | 51.5 | 47.5 | 41.5 | 43 | 49 | 40 | 43 | 40 |
| TFA | 63.5 | 57 | 58 | 67.5 | 53 | 59 | 33 | 49.5 | 37 | 35 | 48 | 41 | 39 | 41 | 41 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

6.5 Days to Inpatient Discharge: Not Fitted with a Prosthesis

Table 40 Days to inpatient discharge, patients not fitted with a prosthesis, descriptive statistics, 2019

| | Unilateral TTA | Unilateral TFA | Bilateral TTA | Bilateral TFA | TTA & TFA |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Number Included | 321 | 210 | 53 | 58 | 27 |
| Lower Quartile | 22.5 | 23 | 21.5 | 13 | 14 |
| Upper Quartile | 61.5 | 75 | 65.5 | 54.5 | 77 |
| Median | 40 | 42.5 | 38 | 26.5 | 28 |

Table 41 Median days to inpatient discharge, patients not fitted with a prosthesis, 2005-2019 (Unilateral Only)

| | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| TTA | 51.5 | 66 | 60.5 | 62 | 61 | 45 | 53 | 64.5 | 45.5 | 42.5 | 40 | 59 | 44 | 43 | 40 |
| TFA | 47 | 52 | 46 | 47 | 51 | 41 | 34 | 36 | 32 | 34 | 43 | 53.5 | 40 | 38 | 42.5 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

6.6 Days from inpatient to outpatient discharge: Fitted with a prosthesis

Table 42 shows the days from inpatient discharge to outpatient discharge (length of outpatient rehabilitation) for all limb-fitted patients; however, this does not take into account the frequency or type of rehabilitation which will vary from hospital to hospital. The different models of care are described in appendix H.

Table 42 Days from inpatient discharge to outpatient discharge, limb-fitted amputees, 2019

| | Unilateral TTA | Unilateral TFA | Bilateral TTA |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Number Included | 210 | 38 | 27 |
| Lower Quartile | 34 | 83 | 27 |
| Upper Quartile | 150 | 225 | 161 |
| Median | 80.5 | 153 | 111 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

Table 43 Median Days from inpatient discharge to outpatient discharge, limb-fitted amputees 2013 - 2019

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Transtibial | 96.5 | 111 | 99.5 | 91 | 101 | 90 | 80.5 |
| Transfemoral | 221 | 164.5 | 107 | 126 | 145 | 141 | 153 |
| Bilateral | 68 | 148.5 | 69 | 76 | 75.5 | 107 | 111 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

7 Trends in Compression Therapy and Early Walking Aids (EWAs)

7.1 Statistics Presented

This chapter looks at trends in the use of compression therapy and Early Walking Aids (EWAs). All patients receiving compression therapy or EWA therapy are included in each analysis.

7.2 Trends in Compression Therapy


Of the patients receiving compression therapy, the percentage who received it within 10 days of amputation is shown in Table 44 for 2002-2019. A line chart representing this data is shown in Figure 4

Table 44 Patients receiving compression therapy within 10 days of amputation (%), 2002–2019

| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| TTA | 66.2 | 67.8 | 65.5 | 54.4 | 47.5 | 52.8 | 55.7 | 51.1 | 55.3 | 73.5 | 66.9 | 68.6 | 62.2 | 63.7 | 61.7 | 64.5 | 67 | 71.5 |
| TFA | 49.3 | 63.8 | 55.3 | 49.5 | 43.6 | 41.6 | 45.2 | 40.2 | 39.1 | 47.2 | 57.8 | 37.2 | 35.5 | 22.1 | 41. | 40.5 | 46.1 | 40.6 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

Figure 4 Percentage of unilateral transtibial and transfemoral amputees receiving compression therapy within 10 days of amputation surgery, 2002– 2019



7.3 Trends in Early Walking Aids


313 patients received Early Walking Aids (EWA) therapy, 25% received it within 10 days of amputation in 2019 and this is shown in Table 45 for 2002-2019, categorised by level of amputation. A line chart representing this data is shown in Figure 5

Table 45 Patients using EWAs within 10 days of amputation (%), 2002– 2019

| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| TTA | 27.1 | 23.3 | 25.9 | 23.9 | 18.3 | 21.5 | 17.6 | 14.9 | 16.0 | 24.1 | 19.8 | 34.8 | 27.9 | 23.3 | 24.1 | 27.4 | 31.1 | 28.6 |
| TFA | 26.7 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 14.9 | 13.3 | 15.6 | 23.5 | 12.1 | 15.4 | 24.3 | 20.5 | 21.6 | 20.2 | 22.0 | 24.2 | 28.0 | 20.4 | 16.1 |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

Figure 5 Percentage of unilateral transtibial and transfemoral amputees using EWAs within 10 days of amputation surgery, 2002- 2019



Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial

8 Individual Hospital Summaries for 2019

8.1 Data Checking Summary

This section presents the national data broken down by amputating hospital; please refer to Appendix H for further information on each service's model of care.

The number of amputees at each hospital and the data completeness are shown in Table 46.

Table 46 Data Checking Summary by Hospital

| Hospital | Forms issued (n=) | Forms Missing (n=) | Forms complete (n=) | Forms Incomplete (n=) |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Aberdeen Royal Infirmary | 68 | 68 | 0 | 0 |
| University Hospital Ayr | 43 | 0 | 43 | 0 |
| Borders General Hospital | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Dumfries & Galloway Royal Infirmary | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| Forth Valley Royal Hospital | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Glasgow Royal Infirmary | 25 | | 25 | 4 |
| Golden Jubilee National Hospital | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| University Hospital Hairmyres | 111 | 1 | 110 | 0 |
| University Hospital Monklands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ninewells Hospital | 98 | 2 | 96 | 0 |
| Raigmore Hospital | 36 | 0 | 36 | 0 |
| Royal Alexandria Hospital | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh | 122 | 0 | 122 | 0 |
| Queen Elizabeth University Hospital | 213 | 0 | 213 | 0 |
| St John's Hospital | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| University Hospital Wishaw | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Woodend Hospital | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Outside Scottish Service | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| National | 768 | 77 | 691 | 4 |

8.2 Key Performance Indicators by Hospital

Tables 48 to 52 only include those centres with > 10 amputation surgeries in 2019. This is to ensure data protection and validity of data analysis.

Models of Care

Each hospital's model of care (MOC) varies and the impact this has on the achievement of rehabilitation milestones and outcomes is complex and influenced by many factors including patient demographics. Hebenton et al (2019) identified key aspects of services that appear to improve speed and outcomes of rehabilitation after lower limb amputation¹. These key aspects have been used to develop the weighted MOC scoring system used in this report see table 47. Detailed description of the models of care for each service can be found in Appendix H. Table 48 shows the total score for each centre.

Table 47 Model of care (MOC) indicators

| Aspect of model of care identified as influential | Score |
|---|-----------|
| Immediate post-operative rigid dressing | 0-2 |
| Specialist physiotherapist in first 14 days | 0-2 |
| Daily inpatient gym session (Mon- Fri) | 0-2 |
| Inpatient gym session ≥ 1 hour | 0-1 |
| Prosthetic centre on site when in patient | 0-1 |
| Prosthetic provision as an in patient | 0-2 |
| Routine specialist physiotherapy outpatient service | 0-1 |
| Total | 11 |

Scoring system: -

Aspects found to be statistically significant in previous study² have been given a higher rating

Score < optimum means aspect is only partially available

Table 48 Total model of care score for centres n > 10 (see Appendix H for more detail)

| | AH | DGRI | GRI | HH | QEUH | NH | RH | RIE/AA | National median |
|--|----|------|-----|----|------|----|----|--------|-----------------|
| Total moc score (max score = 11) | 7 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6.6 |

Key: University Hospital Ayr (AH), Dumfries and Galloway Royal Infirmary (DGRI), Glasgow Royal Infirmary (GRI), University Hospital Hairmyres (HH), Queen Elizabeth University Hospital (QEUH), Ninewells Hospital (NH), Raigmore Hospital (RH), Royal Infirmary Edinburgh/Astley Ainslie (RIE/AA).

There are clear variations in milestones and outcomes between these larger centres. These are as follows: -

1. The percentage of amputations carried out at a transtibial (TTA) level in individual hospitals (centres, n>10) shows significant variation, from 32% to 61.1%.
2. The proportion of patients being successfully fitted varies from 34.4% to 72.6% (centres, n>10).
3. Time to provision of a prosthesis for both TTA and TFA levels has continued to improve nationally but, but this still varies hugely from centre to centre (unilateral TTA time to cast; Ninewells 24 days, Ayr 58 days: unilateral TTA time to delivery; Ninewells 31 days; Raigmore 84 days)
4. The change in self-reported community mobility from 6 months before surgery to the end of rehabilitation for unilateral TTA shows significant variation (D&G hospital -13; GRI & QEUH 0).

8.2.1 Age and FCI

Table 49 Median Age, and FCI

| Hospital | Median Age (years) | Mean FCI |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Aberdeen Royal Infirmary | ** | ** |
| University Hospital Ayr | 68 | 3.6 |
| Dumfries & Galloway Royal Infirmary | 69 | 2.4 |
| Glasgow Royal Infirmary | 57 | 1.4 |
| University Hospital Hairmyres | 67 | 3.0 |
| Ninewells Hospital | 68 | 3.2 |
| Queen Elizabeth University Hospital | 66 | 2.9 |
| Raigmore Hospital | 68 | 4.0 |
| Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh | 64 | 2.6 |
| National | 67 | 2.9 |

Abbreviations: FCI = Functional Co-morbidities Index (Appendix F), LF=Limb-fitted
 Note: there are no amputations due to vascular aetiology at Glasgow Royal Infirmary
 **No data as Grampian not included in report.

8.2.2 Final Level of Amputation

The final level of Amputation at end of the rehabilitation period is recorded in Table 50.

Table 50 Final level of Amputation at end of Rehabilitation by Hospital

| Hospital | Unilateral TTA % (n) | Unilateral TFA % (n) | Other % (n) | Bilateral TTA % (n) | Bilateral TFA % (n) | TTA & TFA% (n) | Other % (n) | Total % (n) |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Aberdeen Royal Infirmary | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| University Hospital Ayr | 46.5 (20) | 32.6 (14) | 0 | 11.6 (5) | 4.7 (2) | 4.7 (2) | 0 | 100 (43) |
| Dumfries & Galloway Royal Infirmary | 57.9 (11) | 26.3 (5) | 0 | 5.3 (1) | 0 | 5.3 (1) | 5.3 (1) | 100 (19) |
| Glasgow Royal Infirmary | 32 (8) | 40 (10) | 16 (4) | 12 (3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 (25) |
| University Hospital Hairmyres | 48.2 (53) | 30 (33) | 1.8 (2) | 10 (11) | 7.3 (8) | 1.8 (2) | 0.9 (1) | 100 (110) |
| Ninewells Hospital | 43.8 (42) | 32.3 (31) | 0 | 9.4 (9) | 9.4 (9) | 5.2 (5) | 0 | 100 (96) |
| Queen Elizabeth University Hospital | 45.5 (97) | 32.4 (69) | 0.5 (1) | 5.2 (11) | 11.3 (24) | 5.2 (11) | 0 | 100 (213) |
| Raigmore Hospital | 61.1 (22) | 13.9 (5) | 0 | 16.7 (6) | 2.8 (1) | 5.6 (2) | 0 | 100 (36) |
| Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh | 44.3 (54) | 31.1 (38) | 1.6 (2) | 6.6 (8) | 13.1 (16) | 3.3 (4) | 0 | 100 (122) |
| National | 46.6 (322) | 30.5 (211) | 1.7 (12) | 7.8 (54) | 9 (62) | 3.9 (27) | 0.3 (3) | 100 (691) |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial, **No data as Grampian not included in report

8.2.3 Final Outcome

Final outcome (at discharge from physiotherapy) by hospital are shown in Table 51

Table 51 Key Performance Indicators by Hospital

| Hospital | LF % (n) | NLF % (n) | Aban % (n) | Died % (n) | Total (n) |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Aberdeen Royal Infirmary | ** | ** | ** | ** | 68 |
| University Hospital Ayr | 46.5 (20) | 32.6 (14) | 2.3 (1) | 18.6 (8) | 43 |
| Dumfries & Galloway Royal Infirmary | 52.6 (10) | 26.3 (5) | 15.8 (3) | 5.3 (1) | 19 |
| Glasgow Royal Infirmary | 72.7 (16) | 18.2 (4) | 0 | 9.1 (2) | 22 |
| University Hospital Hairmyres | 36.4 (40) | 40.9 (45) | 7.3 (8) | 15.5 (17) | 110 |
| Ninewells Hospital | 44.8 (43) | 40.6 (39) | 3.1 (3) | 11.5 (11) | 96 |
| Queen Elizabeth University Hospital | 37.6 (80) | 44.6 (95) | 3.8 (8) | 14.1 (30) | 213 |
| Raigmore Hospital | 44.4 (16) | 30.6 (11) | 2.8 (1) | 22.2 (8) | 36 |
| Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh | 34.4 (42) | 52.5 (64) | 1.6 (2) | 11.5 (14) | 122 |
| National | 41.3 (284) | 40.8 (281) | 3.9 (27) | 14 (96) | 688 |

Abbreviations: LF=Limb-fitted, NLF=Non Limb-fitted, Aban=Abandoned

**No data as Grampian not included in report.

8.3 Milestones by hospital (limb-fitted unilateral transtibial amputees)

The number of, and milestones data for limb-fitted unilateral transtibial amputees are presented for each hospital in Table 52.

Table 52 Key Performance Indicators (milestones) by hospital, 2019

| Hospital – unilateral TTA | % LF | Days to CT | Days to EWA | Days to Casting | Days to Delivery | In Patient Stay | Overall Length of Rehab | LCI-5 change score |
|--|----------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| University Hospital Ayr (n= 20) | 80% (n=16) | 13 | 25 | 58 | 72 | 34 | 184 | -6.5 |
| Dumfries & Galloway Royal Infirmary (n=11) | 63.6% (n=7) | 7 | 8 | 27.5 | 44.5 | 63 | 112 | -13 |
| Glasgow Royal Infirmary (n= 8) | 87.5% (n=7) | 12 | 22 | 34 | 48 | 16 | 148 | 0 |
| University Hospital Hairmyres (n=53) | 50.9% (n=27) | 8 | 21 | 47 | 62 | 22 | 146 | -10 |
| Ninewells Hospital (n= 42) | 81% (n=34) | 0 | 10 | 24 | 31 | 43 | 82 | -5 |
| Queen Elizabeth University Hospital (n=97) | 60.8% (n=59) | 8 | 12 | 31 | 41 | 35 | 102 | 0 |
| Raigmore Hospital (n=22) | 68.2% (n=15) | 0 | 14 | 33 | 84 | 40.5 | 105 | -12 |
| Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh (n=54) | 61.1% (n=33) | 8 | 24 | 42 | 49 | 60.5 | 118.5 | -4 |
| National Median (n=322) | 65.4% (n=210) | 7 | 16 | 35.5 | 48 | 40 | 118 | -4 |

Abbreviations: Compression therapy (CT), Early Walking Aid (EWA), Length of Stay (LOS) **No data as Grampian not included in report

Definitions:

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Days to CT | Median days from final surgery to start of compression therapy |
| Days to EWA | Median days from final surgery to start of early walking aid therapy e.g. PPAM aid. |
| Days to casting | Median days from final surgery to casting for prosthesis |
| Days casting to delivery | Median days from final surgery to delivery of prosthesis |
| In Patient LOS | Median days from amputation surgery to discharge from inpatient care |
| Overall Length of Rehab | Median days from amputation surgery to discharge from outpatient care |

Figure 6 Days from surgery to commencing compression therapy (CT) and early walking aid (EWA) use in unilateral TTAs by hospital




Figure 7 Days from surgery to cast and delivery of a prosthetic limb in unilateral TTA's by hospital





Figure 8 Days from surgery to inpatient and final discharge from physiotherapy in unilateral limbfitted TTAs by hospital



9 Limb -fitting Centres

9.1 Hospital to Limb fitting centre

Each of the five limb fitting centres receives referrals depending upon their geographical location. Table 53 shows which limb-fitting centre each hospital refers to; the number of amputees in 2019 from each hospital, and the percentage Limb-fitted at each centre categorised into unilateral transtibial (TTA) and unilateral transfemoral (TFA) level.

Table 53 Limb-fitting centres, referring hospitals and % limb-fitted

| Limb-fitting Centres (LFC) | Referring hospital (n= number of TT & TF amputees in 2019) | % Limb-fitted Unilateral TTA | % Limb-fitted Unilateral TFA |
|--|---|---|---|
| WestMARC (n=295) (NHS GG&C, NHS Forth Valley, NHS Dumfries and Galloway, NHS Lanarkshire and NHS Ayrshire and Arran) | Queen Elizabeth University Hospital (n=166) | 60.8 | 18.8 |
| | Glasgow Royal Infirmary (n=17) | 87.5 | 55.6 |
| | Royal Alexandria Hospital (n=5) | 75 | 100 |
| | Monklands University Hospital (n=0) | n/a | n/a |
| | Hairmyres Hospital (n=86) | 50.9 | 21.2 |
| | Forth Valley Royal Hospital (n=5) | 100 | 33.3 |
| | Dumfries and Galloway Royal Infirmary (n=16) | 63.6 | 40 |
| | Golden Jubilee National Hospital (n=0) | n/a | n/a |
| Ayr (n=34) WestMARC satellite clinic | Ayr University Hospital (n=34) | 80 | 7.1 |
| SMART (n=96) (NHS Lothian, NHS Borders) | Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh (n=92) | 61.1 | 13.2 |
| | St John's Hospital, Livingstone (n=1) | 100 | n/a |
| | Borders General (n=3) | 66.7 | n/a |
| TORT (n=73) (NHS Tayside, NHS Fife) | Ninewells Hospital (n=73) | 81 | 3.2 |
| | Victoria Hospital, Kirkcaldy (n=0) | n/a | n/a |
| Raigmore (n=23) (NHS Highland) | Raigmore Hospital (n=23) | 68.2 | 20 |
| MARS (n=72***) (NHS Grampian) | Aberdeen Royal Infirmary (n=68) | ** | ** |
| | Woodend Hospital (n=4) | | |

Abbreviations: TFA=transfemoral, TTA=transtibial, **No data as Grampian not included in report

***please note the numbers for MARS include all levels of amputation

9.2 Milestones by Limb-fitting centre

The number of, and milestones data for limb-fitted unilateral transtibial amputees are presented for each hospital in Table 54.

Table 54 Key performance Indicators (milestones) for unilateral TTA, by limb-fitting centre

| Limb fitting Centre | Days to CT | Days to EWA | Days to Casting | Days to Delivery | In Patient LOS | Overall Length of Rehab |
|--|------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| WestMARC (NHS GG&C) (n= 108) | 7 | 15 | 35 | 50 | 29 | 151 |
| Ayr (satellite clinic of WestMARC) (n=16) | 13.5 | 23.5 | 55 | 69 | 23 | 186 |
| SMART (n=36) | 8 | 24 | 38 | 44.5 | 58 | 126.5 |
| TORT (n=34) | 0 | 10 | 24 | 31 | 43.5 | 82 |
| Raigmore (n=15) | 0 | 14 | 33 | 84 | 45 | 153 |
| MARS | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| National Median | 7 | 16 | 33.5 | 48 | 40 | 118 |

Abbreviations: TTA=transtibial, Compression therapy (CT), Early Walking Aid (EWA), Length of Stay (LOS)

**No data as Grampian not included in report

Definitions:

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Days to CT | Median days from final surgery to start of compression therapy |
| Days to EWA | Median days from final surgery to start of early walking aid therapy e.g. PPAM aid. |
| Days to casting | Median days from final surgery to casting for prosthesis |
| Days casting to delivery | Median days from final surgery to delivery of prosthesis |
| In Patient LOS | Median days from amputation surgery to discharge from inpatient care |
| Overall Length of Rehab | Median days from amputation surgery to discharge from outpatient care |

10 References

- 1 Hebenton J, Scott H, Davie-Smith F, Seenan C. Relationship between models of care and key rehabilitation milestones following unilateral transtibial amputation: a national cross-sectional study. *Physiother (United Kingdom)* 2019;**105**(4):476–82.
- 2 Scott H, Patel R. Benchmarking Primary Lower Limb Amputee Services for Years 2004, 2005 and 2006.' ScotRET Prosthetics Service Group. 2009.
- 3 Condie E, Scott H, Treweek S. Lower Limb Prosthetic Outcome Measures: A Review of the Literature 1995 to 2005. *JPO J Prosthetics Orthot* 2006;**18**(Proceedings):P13–45. Doi: 10.1097/00008526-200601001-00004.
- 4 Franchignoni F, Giordano A, Ferriero G, Muñoz S, Orlandini D, Amoresano A. Rasch analysis of the locomotor capabilities index-5 in people with lower limb amputation. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2007;**31**(4):394–404. Doi: 10.1080/03093640701253952.
- 5 Franchignoni F, Orlandini D, Ferriero G, Moscato TA. Reliability, validity, and responsiveness of the locomotor capabilities index in adults with lower-limb amputation undergoing prosthetic training. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2004;**85**(5):743–8. Doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2003.06.010.
- 6 Davie-Smith F, Hebenton J, Scott H. <https://bacpar.csp.org.uk/publications/sparg-report-2016>. SPARG. <https://bacpar.csp.org.uk/publications/sparg-report-2016%0A>. 08/20/2019.

11 Appendices

11.1.1 Appendix A

Bibliography & Research

Ross M, Ramsay C, Geddes S and Davie-Smith F (2022) 'Exercise Intervention for the Treatment of Patients with Intermittent Claudication' Scottish Physiotherapy Amputee Research Group, Glasgow.

(www.bacpar.org/data/Resource_Downloads/IntermittentClaudicationguideline2022.pdf)

Lee, J., Davie-Smith, F., Hebenton, J., Sharp, K. and Seenan, C., 2022. Impact of PPAM aid use on the time to prosthetic limb delivery in patients with unilateral transtibial amputation: a retrospective analysis. *Prosthetics and Orthotics International*, pp.10-1097.

Crane, H., Boam, G., Carradice, D., Vanicek, N., Twiddy, M. and Smith, G.E., 2021. Through-knee versus above-knee amputation for vascular and non-vascular major lower limb amputations. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (12).

Hebenton, J., Scott, H., Seenan, C. and Davie-Smith, F., 2019. Relationship between models of care and key rehabilitation milestones following unilateral transtibial amputation: a national cross-sectional study. *Physiotherapy*, 105(4), pp.476-482.

Davie-Smith, F., Paul, L., Stuart, W., Kennon, B., Young, R. and Wyke, S., 2019. The influence of socio-economic deprivation on mobility, participation, and quality of life following major lower extremity amputation in the West of Scotland. *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery*, 57(4), pp.554-560.

Davie-Smith, F., Coulter, E., Kennon, B., Wyke, S. and Paul, L., 2017. Factors influencing quality of life following lower limb amputation for peripheral arterial occlusive disease: A systematic review of the literature. *Prosthetics and Orthotics International*, 41(6), pp.537-547.

Davie-Smith, F., Paul, L., Nicholls, N., Stuart, W.P. and Kennon, B., 2017. The impact of gender, level of amputation and diabetes on prosthetic fit rates following major lower extremity amputation. *Prosthetics and orthotics international*, 41(1), pp.19-25.

Hebenton, J., Colvin, J., Seenan, C. and Scott, H., 2016. Early PPAM aid use post-unilateral transtibial amputation is associated with reduced time to prosthetic fitting and finishing rehabilitation. *Physiotherapy*, 102, pp.e147-e148.

11.1.2 Appendix B

List of SPARG Database reporting facilities

Facility to check entered data only: -

Amputee Details

Previous amputations

LCI5 Mobility Outcome

Functional Co-morbidities Index

Other issues

Home circumstances

Check final outcome

Check important dates

11.1.3 Appendix C

SPARG contacts

Email: spargphysio@outlook.com

Chair: joanne.hebenton@ggc.scot.nhs.uk

WestMARC generic: westmarc.physiotherapy@ggc.scot.nhs.uk

Definition

If there are several factors contributing to the patient's need for an amputation, the main or root cause of the amputation will be selected here, other factors are included as co-morbidities using FCI.

- PAD – Peripheral Arterial Disease this terminology replaces the previously used “Peripheral Vascular Disease”.
- Diabetes. If patient is diabetic enter as aetiology unless tumour, trauma, burns, drug abuse or orthopaedic is the cause. The amputation may be the result of PAD and/or neuropathy and/or renal failure.
- Blood borne infection includes meningitis
- Renal Failure – only where diabetes is not present
- Other for any aetiology not listed.

Since 2016 ‘**immediate cause of amputation**’ has been included. This is either infection, ischaemia or a combination of both and will be secondary to aetiology. This section may not be applicable when amputation is due to trauma, tumour or congenital deformity in which case mark as not applicable.

Mapping

The list of aetiologies used in this report was revised and reduced in 2004 and revised again in 2016 in order to improve accuracy of recording and relevance of categories. The following shows the mapping of the previous list of aetiologies to the current list.

| Previous category | New category 2004 | 2016 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| PAD – Arteriosclerosis | Unchanged | Unchanged |
| PAD – Diabetes | Diabetes | Unchanged |
| Trauma | Trauma or Burns | Unchanged |
| Burns | | |
| Tumour | Unchanged | Unchanged |
| Congenital deformity | Unchanged | Unchanged |
| Drug abuse | Unchanged | Unchanged |
| Venous Problems | Venous disease | Unchanged |
| Non-union of fracture | Orthopaedic | Non-union of fracture |
| Failed joint replacement | | Failed joint replacement |
| Acquired deformity | | Acquired deformity |
| Septicaemia | Blood-borne infection | Unchanged |
| Renal Problems | Renal Failure | Unchanged |
| Other | Other | Chronic regional pain Syndrome |
| Local Infection | | Acute vascular incident |
| Not recorded | Unchanged | Not recorded |

11.1.5 Appendix E Locomotor Capabilities Index 5

Only fill this in for amputees who are using their prosthesis to WALK.

Please note: this assessment must be completed **with the amputee present or on the telephone** and the amputee **must be asked** how they think they can manage each activity. It is how the patient perceives their own performance that is being measured.

Put 0,1,2,3 or 4 in the appropriate boxes where: -

- 0. = No
- 1. = Yes, if someone helps
- 2. = Yes, if someone is near
- 3. = Yes, alone with walking aid(s)
- 4. = Yes, alone **without** walking aid

| Activity | 6 months pre-admission | Final Discharge |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|
| <i>Basic Activities</i> | | |
| Get up from a chair | | |
| Walk indoors | | |
| Walk outside on even ground | | |
| Go up the stairs with a hand-rail | | |
| Go down the stairs with a hand-rail | | |
| Step up a kerb | | |
| Step down a kerb | | |
| TOTAL | | |
| <i>Advanced activities</i> | | |
| Pick up an object from the floor when standing | | |
| Get up from the floor (e.g. after a fall) | | |
| Walk outside on uneven ground (e.g. grass, gravel, slope) | | |
| Walk outside in bad weather (e.g. rain, wind, snow) | | |
| Go up a few steps without a hand-rail | | |
| Walk down without a hand-rail | | |
| Walk while carrying an object | | |
| TOTAL | | |
| OVERALL TOTAL | | |
| CHANGE of overall total from 6 months preadmission to final discharge | | |

11.1.6 Appendix F Functional Co-morbidities Index

Lower limb amputees are a predominantly elderly group with a relatively high incidence of co-morbid disease. This has not been previously accounted for in the SPARG data collection and analysis. The Functional Co-morbidities Index (FCI) was incorporated into the data set from 2008.

The FCI was developed and validated with physical function as the outcome (Groll et al 2005). The more commonly used indices predict mortality or administrative outcomes such as hospital length of stay. These indices tend to include conditions that are asymptomatic and impact on life expectancy but not physical function (for example, hypertension) and have been found not to correlate strongly with physical disability.

The FCI was developed using 2 different samples of adults: 1 group n= 9,423 'random Canadian adults'; 2nd group n = 28,349 'US adults seeking treatment for spinal ailments' using the physical subscale of the SF36 as the outcome.

The FCI is completed by scoring a 1 if a disease is present and 0 if it is not. A score of 0 indicates no co-morbid illness and a score of 18 indicates the highest number of co-morbid illnesses. The disease is only scored as present if it is diagnosed and documented in medical notes.

The BMI is calculated for each patient by dividing the patient's weight by their height in metres squared (weight / height ²). If neither height nor weight cannot be measured or obtained, BMI can be estimated using the mid upper arm circumference (MUAC) ('Must' Explanatory Booklet). If MUAC is more than 32.0cm, BMI is likely to be more than 30kg/m² i.e. patient is likely to be obese.

Functional Co-morbidities Index

| | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Arthritis (rheumatoid and osteoarthritis) | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Osteoporosis | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Asthma | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, Acquired Respiratory Distress Syndrome, Emphysema | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Angina | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Congestive Heart Failure (or heart disease) | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Heart Attack (myocardial infarction) | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Neurological disease e.g. Multiple Sclerosis or Parkinson's | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CVA or TIA | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Peripheral Arterial Disease | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Diabetes Type I and II | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Upper gastrointestinal disease (ulcer, hernia, reflux) | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Depression | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Anxiety or panic disorders | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Visual impairment (cataracts, glaucoma, macular degeneration) | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Hearing impairment (very hard of hearing even with hearing aids) | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Degenerative disc disease including, back disease, spinal stenosis or severe chronic back pain | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Obesity and/or BMI > 30 (Pre-op weight in Kg/height in metres ²) | | | | |
| Weight (Kg) | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Height (metres) | | | | |
| BMI = | | | | |
| Please see Guidance Notes | Score (Yes = 1, No = 0) | <input type="text" value="/ 18"/> | | |

11.1.7 Appendix G Data Cleaning Steps

- Remove records which are marked as missing
- Checked, flagged and fixed DOBs in current year and age >100
- Check, flagged and fix date of amputation
- Check Amputees with right and left amputations are marked as bilaterals
- Check milestones are calculated from final surgery
- Check if LF then final outcome is LF (1) or Abandoned (3).
- Where DOB, date of amputation, etc are left blank then these are flagged and marked as "Missing"

11.1.8 Appendix H

Models of Care Summary for 2017

Scoring system

| Aspect of model of care identified as influential | Scoring system | Score |
|---|---|-------|
| | Aspects found to be statistically significant in previous study ² have been given a higher rating i.e. 2 Score < optimum means aspect is only partially available | |
| Immediate post-operative rigid dressing | 0 = not used, 1 = used with some patients, 2 = used routinely | 2 |
| Specialist physiotherapy in first 14 days | 0 = non-specialist physio, 1 = non-specialist supported by specialist e.g. in-reach, 2 = specialist physio | 2 |
| Daily inpatient gym session (Mon- Fri) | 0 = no gym sessions, 1 = gym sessions 2-3 xs per week or daily ward sessions, 2 = daily gym sessions | 2 |
| Inpatient gym session ≥ 1 hour | 0 = < 60 mins, 1 = ≥ 60 minutes | 1 |
| Prosthetic Service on site when in patient | 0 = on site, 1 = not on site | 1 |
| Prosthetic provision as an in patient LF = limbfitted, IP = inpatient, OP = outpatient | 0 = LF as OP, 1 = some patients LF as IP and/or all patients cast as IP, 2 = all patients LF as IP | 2 |
| Routine specialist physiotherapy outpatient service | 0 = not routine, 1 = routine | 1 |
| Maximum score | | 11 |

QUEEN ELIZABETH UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL, NHS GREATER GLASGOW & CLYDE: Vascular Unit

Following an amputation, patients at QEUH will receive treatment from a specialist physiotherapist (2). Rehabilitation will occur in their amputating bed. A post-operative rigid dressing is not routinely used. Multi-disciplinary team working is complemented by regular MDT meetings, with a discharge co-ordinator and MDT ward rounds.

As an in-patient, physiotherapy will be provided in one-to-one and group sessions, based on the ward and in a therapy gym. Patients will routinely receive one treatment session daily (2), Monday to Friday, with an average treatment session lasting 60 minutes (1). Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic input will be routinely discharged after casting for their prosthetic limb. However, if there are access difficulties at home some are kept in until they are mobilising with their prosthesis (1). At QEUH, there is an on-site prosthetic centre (WestMARC) (1)

Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic input will have access to out-patient physiotherapy follow-up at WestMARC, their nearest limb-fitting centre. They will see a specialist physiotherapist (1) twice a week and have access to community outreach, clinical psychology and specialist OT services.

Patients, who are not appropriate for prosthetics, will receive the same level of in-patient input, but do not routinely access physiotherapy on discharge.

MOC Score = 8/11

QUEEN ELIZABETH UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL, NHS GREATER GLASGOW & CLYDE: **Orthopaedic Unit**

Following an amputation, patients at QUEH (Ortho) will receive treatment from orthopaedic physiotherapist (whilst on Ward) and specialist amputee physiotherapist (1) once they start GYM treatment. They will remain in their amputating bed during their inpatient rehabilitation. A post-operative rigid dressing is not routinely used. Multi-disciplinary team working is complemented by regular MDT meetings. These are not attended by a discharge co-ordinator.

As an in-patient, physiotherapy will be provided in one-to-one and group sessions, based on the ward and in a therapy gym. Patients will routinely receive two treatment sessions daily, Monday to Friday (2), with an average total treatment time of 180 minutes (1). Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic input will routinely be discharged after their first casting. At QUEH, there is an onsite prosthetic centre; WestMARC (1).

Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic input will have access to out-patient physiotherapy follow-up at WestMARC, their onsite limb-fitting centre. They will see a specialist physiotherapist (1) twice a week and have access to community outreach, clinical psychology and specialist OT services.

Patients, who are not appropriate for prosthetics, will receive the same level of in-patient input, but do not routinely access physiotherapy on discharge.

MOC Score = 6/11

GLASGOW ROYAL INFIRMARY, NHS GREATER GLASGOW & CLYDE

Following an amputation, patients at GRI will receive treatment from a non-specialist physiotherapist. Rehabilitation will occur in their amputating bed. A post-operative rigid dressing is not routinely used. There is no formal Multi-disciplinary team (MDT) meetings/working.

As an in-patient, physiotherapy will take the form one-to-one sessions. These will take place on the ward (no gym/ group treatment as an inpatient). Patients will routinely receive one treatment session daily, Monday to Friday (1), with average treatment time lasting 30 minutes. There is provision for rehabilitation at the weekend as required. Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic input will routinely be discharged before their first casting. At GRI, there is no on-site prosthetic centre and subsequently they will be referred to their nearest site which is WestMARC.

Prosthetic candidates will have access to out-patient physiotherapy follow-up at WestMARC, their nearest limb-fitting centre. They will see a specialist physiotherapist (1) twice a week and have access to community outreach, clinical psychology and specialist OT services.

Patients who are not appropriate for prosthetics will receive the same level of in-patient input, but do not routinely have access to out-patient physiotherapy follow-up unless required.

MOC Score = 2/11

ROYAL ALEXANDRA HOSPITAL, NHS GREATER GLASGOW & CLYDE

Following an amputation, patients at RAH will receive treatment from a non-specialist physiotherapist. Rehabilitation commences in their amputating bed and, where appropriate, will continue in a slow-stream rehab bed. A post-operative rigid dressing is not routinely used. No formal multi-disciplinary team working occurs.

As an in-patient, physiotherapy will take the form of one-to-one, ward based sessions. Patients will routinely receive one treatment session daily (1), Monday to Friday, with an average treatment session lasting 30-45 minutes. Discharge timing will be planned on an individual basis.

At RAH, there is no on-site prosthetic centre and subsequently they will be referred to their nearest site, which is WestMARC.

Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic input will have access to out-patient physiotherapy follow-up at WestMARC, their nearest limb-fitting centre. They will see a specialist physiotherapist twice a week and have access to community outreach, clinical psychology and specialist OT services (1).

Patients who are not appropriate for prosthetics will receive the same level of in-patient input, but do not routinely access physiotherapy on discharge.

MOC Score = 2/11

INVERCLYDE ROYAL HOSPITAL, NHS GREATER GLASGOW & CLYDE

From 2017, all patients who would have been amputated at Inverclyde Hospital are now amputated in QEUEH (see service description above).

Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic input will routinely be discharged from QEUEH after their first casting and will attend WestMARC for their prosthetic care. Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic input will have access to out-patient physiotherapy follow-up at IRH or Westmarc. They will see a specialist physiotherapist twice a week.

Patients, who are not appropriate for prosthetics, will receive the same level of in-patient input in QEUEH, but will only access physiotherapy on discharge as required. This will be provided via a domiciliary service

MOC Score = N/A outpatient service only

UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL HAIRMYRES, NHS LANARKSHIRE

Following an amputation, patients at Hairmyres Hospital will receive treatment from a specialist physiotherapist (2). Rehabilitation will occur in their amputating bed. A post-operative rigid dressing is not routinely used. Multi-disciplinary team (MDT) working is complemented by regular MDT meetings and MDT ward rounds. These are not attended by a discharge co-ordinator.

As an in-patient, physiotherapy will be provided in one-to-one and group sessions, based on the ward and in a therapy gym. Patients will routinely receive one treatment session daily Monday to Friday (3 ward sessions and 2 gym sessions) (1), with an average Gym treatment session lasting 60 minutes and the ward session, 45 minutes (1). Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic-fitting will routinely be discharged before primary prosthetic review. At Hairmyres, there is no on-site prosthetic centre and subsequently they will be referred to their nearest site which is WestMARC.

Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic input will have access to routine out-patient physiotherapy follow-up at their nearest acute hospital. They will see a specialist physiotherapist (1) twice a week in a group exercise setting.

Patients, who are not appropriate for prosthetics, will receive the same level of in-patient input, but will only access physiotherapy on discharge as required. This will be provided via a domiciliary service.

MOC Score = 5/11

From 2018 - No longer routinely use rigid dressing post-operatively

ROYAL INFIRMARY EDINBURGH / ASTLEY AINSLIE HOSPITAL, NHS Lothian

Following amputation, patients at RIE will receive treatment from a non-specialist physiotherapist. In RIE patients will be seen by the in-reach team from Astley Ainslie Hospital and, if assessed as having rehabilitation potential will be transferred to an amputee rehabilitation bed at AAH from 7 – 21 days post op. At AAH they will receive treatment from a specialist physiotherapist (1). A post-operative rigid dressing is not routinely used. Multidisciplinary team working is complemented by regular MDT meetings and MDT ward rounds. These are not attended by a discharge coordinator.

As an inpatient at RIE, physiotherapy will take the form of x 2 per week Gym sessions with the in-reach physiotherapists. Occasional ward sessions may be provided by the surgical team physiotherapists. Following transfer to AAH, physiotherapy will take the form of one-to-one and group sessions based mainly in a physiotherapy gym. Patients will receive up to three sessions daily, Monday to Friday (1), with an average total daily treatment time lasting 60 minutes (1).

At AAH there is an on-site prosthetic centre; SMART Centre (1). Patients will routinely be discharged after prosthetic fitting (2). Outpatient physiotherapy is provided routinely as required (1). All prosthetic patients will be reviewed in an MDT clinic 6 weeks after discharge.

Physiotherapy input for in-patients not proceeding with prosthetic fitting will be gauged in accordance with specific rehab goals. On discharge, these patients do not routinely have access to out-patient physiotherapy.

Patients can be sent home to heal and may be readmitted for rehabilitation and prosthetic fitting or may attend as an outpatient.

MOC Score = 7/11

NINEWELLS HOSPITAL, NHS Tayside

Following an amputation, patients at Ninewells Hospital will receive treatment from a specialist physiotherapist (2). Rehabilitation will commence in their amputating bed and, if suitable for prosthetic fitting, they will move to an amputee rehabilitation bed, of which there are ten. A post-operative rigid dressing is routinely used (2) for up to 7 day period. Multi-disciplinary team working is complemented by regular MDT meetings and MDT ward rounds. These are not attended by a discharge co-ordinator.

As an in-patient, physiotherapy will take the form of one-to-one sessions, based mainly in the therapy gym. Patients will routinely receive one treatment session daily (2), Monday to Friday, increasing to two per day if for prosthetic fitting with an average total daily treatment time of 120 minutes (1). Patients will routinely be discharged after prosthetic fitting (2) although this is beginning to change and some patients are being discharged home to be limb fitted as an outpatient. At Ninewells, there is an on-site prosthetic service (1) - TORT Centre.

Prosthetic candidates do not routinely access out-patient physiotherapy follow-up. Patients will receive a phone call at three weeks post-discharge and, if out-patient or community physiotherapy is required, this will be arranged accordingly.

Patients, who are not appropriate for prosthetic fitting, will receive the same level of in-patient input, but do not routinely access physiotherapy on discharge.

Patients can be sent home to heal.

MOC Score = 10/11

FORTH VALLEY ROYAL HOSPITAL, NHS FORTH VALLEY

Following an amputation, patients at FVRH will receive treatment from a specialist physiotherapist (2). Patients who are for prosthetic fitting will receive it in their amputating bed. Rehabilitation for patients who are not for prosthetic fitting occurs in a slow-stream rehabilitation bed. A post-operative dressing is routinely used by 2/3 of surgeons (1). Multi-disciplinary team working is complemented by daily ward rounds. These are not attended by a discharge coordinator.

As an in-patient, physiotherapy will be provided in one-to-one and group sessions, based on the ward and in a therapy gym. Patients suitable for prosthetic fitting will routinely receive one treatment session daily (1), Monday to Friday. Patients not appropriate for prosthetic fitting will routinely receive daily treatment sessions three to five times a week. The average treatment session lasts 60 minutes (1).

Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic-fitting will routinely be discharged before primary prosthetic review. At FVRH, there is no on-site prosthetic centre and subsequently they will be referred to their nearest site which is WestMARC. After in-patient discharge, prosthetic candidates will have access to physiotherapy after in-patient discharge at the acute hospital. They will see a specialist physiotherapist (1) twice a week.

Patients who are not appropriate for prosthetics will receive the same level of in-patient input, but will only access physiotherapy on discharge as required. This will be provided via domiciliary services or at a day hospital.

MOC Score = 6/11

RAIGMORE HOSPITAL, NHS HIGHLAND

Following an amputation, patients at Raigmore Hospital will receive treatment from a specialist physiotherapist (2). Rehabilitation will occur in their amputating bed. A post-operative rigid dressing is routinely used (2), for a 21 day period. Multi-disciplinary team (MDT) working is complemented by regular MDT meetings. These are not attended by a discharge co-ordinator.

As an in-patient, physiotherapy will take the form of both one-to-one and group sessions based on the ward and in a therapy gym. Patients will routinely receive one treatment session daily (1), Monday to Friday, with an average treatment session lasting 60 minutes (1). Usually, patients who are appropriate for prosthetic-review will routinely be discharged after prosthetic fitting, however from April 2019 there were some major prosthetic difficulties resulting in much longer time between casting and fitting – this resulted in some patients being fitted and rehabilitated as an outpatient (1). More remote living amputees had to be brought back into hospital for fitting and rehabilitation at a later date. At Raigmore, there is an on-site prosthetic centre (1).

Prosthetic candidates will have access to out-patient physiotherapy. Where geography allows, they will receive a weekly session at the acute hospital with a specialist physiotherapist. Where distance is an issue, they can attend non-specialist physiotherapy at their nearest community hospital.

Patients, who are not appropriate for prosthetics, will receive the same level of in-patient input, but do not routinely access physiotherapy on discharge.

MOC Score = 8/11

From 2019 – not all patients limb-fitted as inpatient

AYR HOSPITAL, NHS AYSHIRE & ARRAN

Following an amputation on the vascular ward, patients at Ayr Hospital will receive treatment from a specialist physiotherapist (2). Rehabilitation will occur in their amputating bed. A post-operative rigid dressing is not routinely used. Multi-disciplinary team (MDT) working is complemented by regular MDT ward rounds. These are not attended by a discharge co-ordinator.

As an in-patient, physiotherapy will take the form of both one-to-one and group based sessions. Physiotherapy sessions will take place both on the ward and in the therapy gym. Patients will routinely receive two treatment sessions daily (2), Monday to Friday, with average treatment time lasting 60 minutes (1). Patients who are appropriate for prosthetic-review will routinely be discharged before their first casting. At Ayr, there is a satellite prosthetic service available to patients (1).

On occasion an amputation will occur under the orthopaedic team at Ayr or Crosshouse Hospitals. Those patients will receive daily physiotherapy from a non-specialist amputee physiotherapist with guidance from the specialist amputee physiotherapy team.

A patient requiring longer-term rehabilitation will be transferred to one of five downstream/community hospitals (Arran War Memorial Hospital, Ayrshire Central Hospital in Irvine, Biggart Hospital in Prestwick, East Ayrshire Community Hospital in Cumnock or Girvan Community Hospital). Those patients will receive regular physiotherapy from a non-specialist amputee physiotherapist with guidance from the specialist amputee physiotherapy team.

Once discharged from in-patient care, prosthetic candidates will have access to out-patient physiotherapy at one of two locations (Ayr Hospital or Ayrshire Central Hospital in Irvine). They will see a specialist physiotherapist (1) twice a week and have access to outreach community physiotherapy.

Patients, who are not appropriate for prosthetics, will receive the same level of in-patient input, but do not routinely access physiotherapy on discharge unless required. When required, their physiotherapy input will occur via a domiciliary service.

MOC Score = 7/11

NB Theatre dressings are left in place x 1 -2 weeks so it is not until these are changed that an assessment of the wound can be made and compression therapy and walking with an EWA can begin. These delays may be an influencing factor in patients being fitted much later in Ayr than at other centres (10 weeks compared to 5 weeks at other centres).

DUMFRIES & GALLOWAY ROYAL INFIRMARY, NHS DUMFRIES & GALLOWAY

Following an amputation, patients at DGRI Hospital will receive treatment from a specialist physiotherapist (2). Rehabilitation will initially occur in their amputating bed. However, once surgically fit, dependent on rehab needs and discharge planning, patients may be transferred to a rehabilitation unit either in DGRI or a community hospital. Whilst there is provision for rehabilitation beds for amputees, their physiotherapy input remains specialist.

A post-operative rigid dressing is not routinely used. Multi-disciplinary team (MDT) working is complemented by regular MDT meetings. These are not attended by a discharge co-ordinator.

As an in-patient, physiotherapy will take the form of both one-to-one and group based sessions. Patients will routinely be seen daily, Monday to Friday (2), with an average treatment session lasting 60 minutes (1). There is no specific protocol/pathway for time of discharge in patients' hospital stay i.e. pre-cast, post-cast, after limb-fitting (1). At DGRI, there is no on-site prosthetic centre and subsequently they will be referred to their nearest site which is WestMARC.

Prosthetic candidates will have access to out-patient physiotherapy follow-up at their nearest acute hospital, DGRI or Galloway Community Hospital. They will see a physiotherapist more than once a week, this may be a specialist, dependent on location.

Patients, who are not appropriate for prosthetics, will receive the same level of in-patient input, but do not routinely have access to out-patient physiotherapy follow-up.

MOC Score = 6/11

ABERDEEN ROYAL INFIRMARY, NHS GRAMPIAN

Following an amputation, patients at ARI will receive treatment from a specialist physiotherapist (2). Rehabilitation will begin in their amputating bed with those suitable for a prosthesis moving to a 6 bed rehabilitation unit at Woodend Hospital once wound is deemed satisfactory. A post-operative rigid dressing is not routinely used. Multi-disciplinary team working is complemented by weekly MDT meetings at Woodend site. These are not attended by a discharge co-ordinator.

Patients assessed as suitable for prosthetic fitting will have physiotherapy in the form of gym based sessions (both 1:1 and group sessions). Patients will routinely receive one treatment session five days a week (2), with an average treatment session lasting 45 minutes. These patients will be discharged routinely after prosthetic fitting (2). However, if wound healing is delayed, patients may be discharged and re-admitted to Woodend Hospital once they are able to commence EWA and prosthetic rehabilitation. Physiotherapy at Woodend Hospital is provided by staff travelling from ARI with support from 0.4 HCSW based permanently at Woodend. The prosthetic service is at M.A.R.S, Woodend Hospital (1).

Prosthetic candidates will have access to physiotherapy after discharge as required. The level of input is dependent on geography and ongoing rehabilitation goals. Local patients may access specialist physiotherapist up to two times a week. When geography necessitates non-specialist physiotherapy input, the physiotherapist will be supported by the prosthetic centre. Patients can be re-admitted to 6 bedded unit for 1-3 weeks intensive rehabilitation.

Patients who are not appropriate for prosthetic fitting will receive physiotherapy in the form of both gym based and ward sessions. These will be both 1:1 and in group settings. Patients will routinely receive 1 treatment session 3 days a week with an average session lasting 30 minutes. Following discharge from hospital physiotherapy will be provided as required by community non-specialist staff.

On referral from medical staff, patients are offered an early pre amputation home visit with OT and physiotherapy staff.

Patients can be sent home to heal.

MOC Score = 7/11

In 2018 Number of physiotherapy sessions increased from four to five at the 6 bedded links unit.

11.1.9 Appendix I Multidisciplinary Advisory Group

Joanne Hebenton, Specialist Physiotherapist, WestMARC, QEUH

Rosie Carr, Specialist Physiotherapist, WestMARC, QEUH

Fiona Davie-Smith, Clinical Co-ordinator Specialist Prosthetics Service

Francine McCafferty, Prosthetist, SMART Centre, Edinburgh

Lynn Hutton, Rehabilitation Consultant, SMART Centre, Edinburgh

Suzanne Howie, Specialist Physiotherapist, SMART Centre, Edinburgh

Pilar Alvial Palavicino, Speciality Doctor in Rehabilitation Medicine

